arXiv:1609.00570v1 [math.DG] 2 Sep 2016

SURFACES EXPANDING BY NON-CONCAVE CURVATURE FUNCTIONS

HAIZHONG LI, XIANFENG WANG, AND YONG WEI

Abstract. In this paper, we first investigate the flow of convex surfaces in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ and hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$ expanding by $F^{-\alpha}$, where F is a monotone, homogeneous of degree one function of the principal curvatures of the surfaces and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. By deriving the key ingredient that the pinching ratio of the flow surface M_t is no greater than that of the initial surface M_0, we prove the long time existence and the convergence of the flow. No concavity assumption of F is required. We also show that for the flow in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the limit shape may not be necessarily round after rescaling, by adapting Hung-Wang's approach in the case $\alpha = 1$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notations and preliminaries 4
3. A monotone quantity and an estimate on the pinching ratio 6
4. Flow in Euclidean space and proof of Theorem 1.2 9
5. Flow in Hyperbolic space and proof of Theorem 1.3 14
6. Proof of Theorem 1.5 18
References 29

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ ($\kappa = 0, 1, -1$) be a real space form, i.e., when $\kappa = 0$, $\mathbb{R}^3(0) = \mathbb{R}^3$, when $\kappa = 1$, $\mathbb{R}^3(1) = \mathbb{S}^3$, when $\kappa = -1$, $\mathbb{R}^3(-1) = \mathbb{H}^3$. Given a compact smooth immersion $X_0 : M \to \mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$, we consider the smooth family of immersions $X(x, t) : M \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ solving the evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} X(x,t) = F^{-\alpha}(x,t)\nu(x,t), \\ \quad X(\cdot, 0) = X_0(\cdot), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $F(x, t) = F(\lambda_1(x, t), \lambda_2(x, t))$ is a smooth symmetric function of the principal curvatures of the surfaces and ν is the outer unit normal of $M_t = X_t(M)$. Throughout this paper, we assume that F satisfies the following conditions:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C44; Secondary 53C21, 58J35.
Key words and phrases. surface, expanding curvature flow, non-concave curvature function.

Assumption 1.1. *Let* $\Gamma_+ = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2\}$ *be the positive quadrant in* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Assume that*

(i) F *is smooth, symmetric and positive on* Γ_+.
(ii) F *is strictly increasing in each argument, i.e.,* $\partial F/\partial\lambda_i > 0$ *on* Γ_+, $\forall\ i = 1, 2$.
(iii) F *is homogeneous of degree* 1, *i.e.,* $F(k\lambda) = kF(\lambda)$ *for any* $k > 0$ *and* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Gamma_+$.
(iv) F *is normalized such that* $F(1, 1) = 2$.

We refer the readers to [6] for examples of F satisfying Assumption 1.1.

For strictly convex surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$, (1.1) is a parabolic equation and has a smooth solution on a maximal time interval $[0, T)$ ($T \leq \infty$) for any F satisfying Assumption 1.1 (cf. [18]). In this paper, we will first study the long time behavior of the flow (1.1) for $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa = -1$.

Theorem 1.2. *For any smooth, closed strictly convex surface* M_0 *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, *there exists a smooth solution of the flow* (1.1) *with* F *satisfying Assumption 1.1 and* $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. *The solution exists for all time* $t \in [0, \infty)$, M_t *converge to infinity as* $t \to \infty$ *and the properly rescaled surfaces converge smoothly to the unit sphere* $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Theorem 1.3. *For any smooth, closed strictly convex surface* M_0 *in* $\mathbb{H}^3$, *there exists a smooth solution of the flow* (1.1) *with* F *satisfying Assumption 1.1 and* $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. *The solution exists for all time* $t \in [0, \infty)$, *and each surface* M_t *can be written as a graph of a function* $u(t, \theta)$ *over* $\mathbb{S}^2$. *The principal curvatures* $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2)$ *of* M_t *satisfy the following decay estimate*

$$|\lambda_i - 1| \leq Ce^{-2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}},\ i = 1, 2,\ \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $C = C(\alpha, M_0)$ *is a positive constant depending only on* α *and* M_0. *Moreover, the defining function* u *of* M_t *satisfies the following asymptotic behavior*

$$u(t, \theta) = \frac{t}{2^\alpha} + f(\theta) + o(1), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where $f(\theta)$ *is a smooth function on* $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Remark 1.4. For the flow (1.1) with $\kappa = 1$, we can prove that for any smooth, closed strictly convex surface M_0 in $\mathbb{S}^3$, there exists a smooth solution M_t of the flow (1.1) with F satisfying Assumption 1.1 and $\alpha = 1$. The solution exists for $t \in [0, T)$ with $T < \infty$. As $t \to T$, the surfaces M_t converge smoothly to the equator. One of the key ingredient is that the estimate of the pinching ratio, which is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.2 – 1.3, is also valid for $\kappa = 1$ with $\alpha = 1$ (see Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2). We will discuss the details of the sphere case in the future.

The expanding curvature flow driven by powers of a monotone, homogeneous of degree one and concave function of the principal curvatures has been studied by many authors. In [11], Gerhardt (see also Urbas [30]) considered the flow (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$ and concave curvature function F for star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and proved that the flow exists for all time and converges to infinity, and the properly rescaled surfaces converge to a round sphere. Schnürer [28] ($\alpha = 2$) and Li [21] ($1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$) considered the flow (1.1) driven by the power of Gauss curvature for closed strictly convex surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Gerhardt [15] considered the flow (1.1) with $0 < \alpha \neq 1$ and concave curvature function F for star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Recently, the expanding flow (1.1) has also been studied

for hypersurfaces in other ambient spaces, see [10, 14, 26, 27] for the flow in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and [16, 23] for the flow in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. In the previous mentioned papers, the concavity of F plays an important role in deriving the curvature estimate and in using the second derivative Hölder estimates of Krylov [20].

The study of expanding curvature flow is closely related to the contracting curvature flow: the smooth family of immersions $X(x,t) : M \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}X(x,t) = \ -F(x,t)\nu(x,t), \\ \quad X(\cdot,0) = X_0(\cdot), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $F(x,t) = F(\lambda_1(x,t), \cdots, \lambda_n(x,t))$ is a smooth symmetric, homogeneous of degree one function of the principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces $M_t = X_t(M)$ and ν is the outer unit normal of M_t. It has been proved that the flow (1.4) can contract convex hypersurface to a round point in finite time for a very general class of the speed function F, cf. [1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 17]. In particular, Andrews showed in [5] that the flow (1.4) of surfaces (i.e., $n = 2$) with F satisfying Assumption 1.1 contracts convex surface to a round point in finite time. No concavity assumption on F is necessary. Note that this result can not be extended to higher dimensions, as there exist smooth, homogeneous of degree one speed functions that do not preserve convexity of the initial hypersurface along the flow (1.4) (see [7]).

The proof of Theorems 1.2 – 1.3 is inspired by Andrews's work [5]. One ingredient of the proof is the pinching ratio estimate without any concavity assumption on the speed function F, which is a consequence of the monotonicity of a quantity which involves the principal curvatures of the flow surfaces. Another ingredient is the second derivative Hölder estimate derived by Andrews [3] in two dimensional case without any concavity assumption.

In general, the convergence in Theorem 1.3 can not be improved in the sense that the limit shape of M_t does not have to be a round sphere after scaling. Hung-Wang [19] gave a counterexample to show that the inverse mean curvature flow (i.e., the flow (1.1) with $\alpha = 1, F = H$) in $\mathbb{H}^3$ may not converge to a round sphere after rescaling. Here, we show that in the case $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the convergence can not be improved as well.

Theorem 1.5. *There exists a smooth star-shaped and mean convex surface M_0 in $\mathbb{H}^3$ such that along the flow (1.1) with $\alpha \in (0,1), F = H$, as $t \to \infty$, the rescaled metric $e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}g_t$ converges to a metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$ that is not the round metric, where g_t is the induced metric on M_t.*

Remark 1.6. To be consistent in the range of α with Theorem 1.3, we restrict to the case $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in Theorem 1.5. For $\alpha > 1$, it was also remarked in [26] that the convergence of the flow (1.1) in $\mathbb{H}^3$ can not be improved in general.

Acknowledgments. The first author was supported in part by NSFC Grant No. 11671214. The second author was supported in part by NSFC Grant No. 11571185. The third author would like to thank Ben Andrews for discussion and support, and Jason D. Lotay for support while the project was initiated.

2. Notations and preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations and preliminary results. Through the paper, we adopt the Einstein summation convention of summing over repeated indices. Let $X_t = X(x,t) : M_t \to \mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ be a family of surfaces in a real space form $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ moving according to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}X(x,t) = -\Phi(x,t)\nu(x,t), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Phi(x,t) = \Phi(F(x,t)) = -F^{-\alpha}(x,t)$, F is a smooth, symmetric, and homogeneous of degree one function of the principal curvatures of the surfaces $M_t = X_t(M)$ and ν is the outer unit normal of M_t.

We use $g = \{g_{ij}\}$, $A = \{h_{ij}\}$ and $W = \{h^i_j\}$ to denote the components of induced metric, the second fundamental form and the Weingarten map of the surfaces, respectively. Here $h_{ij} = -\bar{g}(\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}, \nu)$ in local coordinates x^1, x^2, where $\bar{g}$ denotes the metric of $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$. Note that at a given point $x \in M$, we can always choose local coordinates x^1, x^2 such that $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = 0$ and $h^i_j = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ at x. Thus the functions F and Φ can be considered as a function of (h_{ij}, g_{ij}) or (λ_1, λ_2). We set $\dot{F}^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}$, $\ddot{F}^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h_{ij}\partial h_{kl}}$. Since F is symmetric, we may assume that at each point $(x,t) \in M \times [0,T)$, the principal curvatures satisfy $\lambda_2(x,t) \geq \lambda_1(x,t)$.

2.1. Evolution equations.

For the surfaces moving according to (2.1), we have the following evolution equations (see [2, 13]):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nu = \nabla\Phi, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} = -2\Phi h_{ij}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\Phi = \Phi\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_{ip}h^p_j + \kappa\Phi\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{ij} - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{kl}\nabla_k\nabla_l h_{ij} =& \dot{\Phi}\ddot{F}^{kl,mn}\nabla_i h_{kl}\nabla_j h_{mn} + \ddot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{kl}\nabla_i h_{kl}\dot{F}^{mn}\nabla_j h_{mn}\\ &+ \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{kl}h_{ij}h^p_k h_{pl} - \dot{\Phi}Fh^k_i h_{kj} - \Phi h^k_i h_{kj}\\ &+ \kappa(\dot{\Phi}Fg_{ij} + \Phi g_{ij} - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{kl}g_{kl}h_{ij}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the induced metric g, $\nabla_i h_{kl}$ is the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form, and $\dot{\Phi} = d\Phi/dr$ by considering $\Phi = \Phi(r) = -r^{-\alpha}$ as a function of the real scale r.

Note that in an orthonormal coordinates with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $h^i_j = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we have that (see [1, 4, 12])

$$\begin{aligned}&\dot{F}^{ij} = \delta_{ij}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i},\ i = 1, 2, \quad \ddot{F}^{11,11} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \lambda_1^2},\ \ddot{F}^{22,22} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \lambda_2^2},\\ &\ddot{F}^{11,22} = \ddot{F}^{22,11} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2}, \quad \ddot{F}^{12,12} = \ddot{F}^{21,21} = \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_1} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_2}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2},\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where the last of these identities is to be interpreted as a limit if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

2.2. **Graphical representation.** We recall the warped product model of the space form $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$, i.e., $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa) = I \times \mathbb{S}^2$ equipped with the warped product metric

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + s_\kappa^2(r) g_{\mathbb{S}^2},$$

where $I = [0, \infty)$ if $\kappa = 0, -1$, and $I = [0, \pi]$ if $\kappa = 1$ with

$$s_\kappa(r) = \begin{cases} r, & \kappa = 0, \\ \sin r, & \kappa = 1, \\ \sinh r, & \kappa = -1. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that M is a star-shaped surface in $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ and can be expressed as a graph over the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, i.e.,

$$M = \{(u(\theta), \theta),\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2\},$$

then the induced metric on M in terms of the coordinates θ^j is given by

$$g_{ij} = u_i u_j + s_\kappa^2(u)\sigma_{ij}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\sigma_{ij} = g_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\partial_{\theta^i}, \partial_{\theta^j})$ is the components of the round metric $g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. The second fundamental form h_{ij} satisfies

$$h_{ij} v^{-1} = -u_{ij} + s'_\kappa(u) s_\kappa(u) \sigma_{ij}, \tag{2.8}$$

where u_{ij} is the covariant derivatives of u with respect to the induced metric g_{ij}, $s'_\kappa(r)$ is the derivative of $s_\kappa(r)$ and v is defined by

$$v = \sqrt{1 + s_\kappa^{-2}(u) |Du|^2_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The unit normal vector field on the surface is given by

$$\nu = v^{-1}(\partial_r - s_\kappa^{-2}(u) u^j \partial_{\theta^j}). \tag{2.10}$$

We denote

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{u_0}^{u} \frac{1}{s_\kappa(r)} dr,$$

then $\varphi'(u) = s_\kappa^{-1}(u)$ and h^i_j (the components of the Weingarten map) can be expressed as

$$h^i_j = v^{-1} s_\kappa^{-1}(u) \left(-(\sigma^{ik} - v^{-2}\varphi^i \varphi^k)\varphi_{jk} + s'_\kappa(u)\delta^i_j \right), \tag{2.11}$$

where $\varphi^i = \sigma^{ik}\varphi_k$, $(\sigma^{ij}) = (\sigma_{ij})^{-1}$ and the covariant derivatives here are taken with respect to σ_{ij}.

If M_t is a smooth star-shaped solution of (2.1) for $t \in [0, T)$ and each flow surface is expressed as a graph $M_t = \text{graph } u(t, \theta)$ over the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, we can easily deduce that the defining function $u(t, \theta)$ of M_t satisfies the following scalar parabolic equation (see [13])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = -\Phi v, \tag{2.12}$$

on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where v is the function defined in (2.9).

2.3. **Support function.** The support function of a star-shaped surface M in $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$ is defined by

$$\chi = \bar{g}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r, \nu) = s_\kappa(u)v^{-1}.$$

In this subsection, we derive the evolution equation of χ for the flow surfaces M_t along the flow (2.1). We choose local coordinates x^1, x^2 such that $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = 0$ and $h^i_j = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ at a given point $x \in M$. It is easy to check that the vector field $s_\kappa(u)\partial_r$ is a conformal vector field in the sense that (cf. [25, page 206])

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r) = s'_\kappa(u)Y \tag{2.13}$$

for any tangent vector field Y on $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $\bar{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)$. Then using (2.13) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi =& \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{-\Phi\nu}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r), \nu) + \bar{g}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r, \partial_t\nu)\\ =& -\Phi s'_\kappa(u) + \bar{g}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r, \nabla\Phi).\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, using (2.13), we derive that

$$\nabla_i\chi = \bar{g}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r, h^k_i\partial_{x^k}), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\nabla_j\nabla_i\chi = \bar{g}(s_\kappa(u)\partial_r, g^{kl}\nabla_l h_{ij}\partial_{x^k}) + h_{ij}s'_\kappa(u) - h^k_i h_{kj}\chi. \tag{2.16}$$

Combining the equations (2.14)-(2.16) gives that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\nabla_j\nabla_i\chi = \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h^k_i h_{kj}\chi - (\Phi + \dot{\Phi}F)s'_\kappa(u). \tag{2.17}$$

Note that

$$-(\Phi + \dot{\Phi}F)s'_\kappa(u) = (1-\alpha)F^{-\alpha}s'_\kappa(u) \geq 0$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The maximum principle applied to (2.17) then implies that the star-shaped condition $\chi > 0$ of the flow surfaces is preserved along the flow (2.1).

3. A monotone quantity and an estimate on the pinching ratio

In this section, we show that the pinching ratio of M_t, which is the supremum over the surface M_t of the ratio of largest to smallest principal curvatures at each point, is no greater than that of M_0. This is the first key step of the proof of Theorems 1.2 – 1.3. The idea is to apply the maximum principle to the evolution equation for the following quantity

$$G = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} \tag{3.1}$$

as in Andrews's paper [5].

Theorem 3.1. *Let M_t $(0 \leq t < T)$ be a family of smooth, closed strictly convex surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)(\kappa = 0, 1, -1)$ flowing according to (1.1) with F satisfying Assumption 1.1. We assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ in the cases $\kappa = 0, -1$, and assume that $\alpha = 1$ in the case $\kappa = 1$. Then we have that the supremum $\tilde{G}$ of the quantity $G = \frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^2}$ is non-increasing in time.*

Proof. Denote $\Phi = -F^{-\alpha}$. Then Φ is a symmetric homogeneous of degree $-\alpha$ function of the principal curvatures of M_t. By a direct computation, we have (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G - \dot{\Phi}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j G =&(\dot{G}^{ij}\ddot{\Phi}^{kl,mn} - \dot{\Phi}^{ij}\ddot{G}^{kl,mn})\nabla_i h_{kl}\nabla_j h_{mn}\\ &- \dot{G}^{ij}\dot{\Phi}^{kl}h_{kl}h_i^p h_{pj} + \dot{G}^{ij}\dot{\Phi}^{kl}h_{ij}h_k^p h_{pl} + \Phi\dot{G}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj}\\ &+ \kappa(\dot{G}^{ij}\dot{\Phi}^{kl}h_{kl}g_{ij} - \dot{G}^{ij}\dot{\Phi}^{kl}g_{kl}h_{ij} + \Phi\dot{G}^{ij}g_{ij}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\dot{\Phi}^{ij} = \dfrac{\partial\Phi}{\partial h_{ij}}$, $\ddot{\Phi}^{kl,mn} = \dfrac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial h_{kl}\partial h_{mn}}$. Note that G is homogeneous of degree zero and Φ is homogeneous of degree $-\alpha$, the Euler relation gives that $\dot{G}^{ij}h_{ij} = 0$ and $\dot{\Phi}^{ij}h_{ij} = -\alpha\Phi$, so (3.2) can be simplified by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G - \dot{\Phi}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j G =&(\dot{G}^{ij}\ddot{\Phi}^{kl,mn} - \dot{\Phi}^{ij}\ddot{G}^{kl,mn})\nabla_i h_{kl}\nabla_j h_{mn}\\ &+ (1+\alpha)\Phi\dot{G}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} + \kappa(1-\alpha)\Phi\dot{G}^{ij}g_{ij}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We denote the zero-order terms and the first-order terms in (3.3) by Q_0 and Q_1, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}Q_0 &= (1+\alpha)\Phi\dot{G}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} + \kappa(1-\alpha)\Phi\dot{G}^{ij}g_{ij},\\ Q_1 &= (\dot{G}^{ij}\ddot{\Phi}^{kl,mn} - \dot{\Phi}^{ij}\ddot{G}^{kl,mn})\nabla_i h_{kl}\nabla_j h_{mn}.\end{aligned}$$

In the following, at a given point $x_t \in M_t$, we will choose local coordinates x^1, x^2 such that $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = 0$ and $h_j^i = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ at x_t. Thus we can use (2.6) to simplify Q_0 and Q_1 as follows:

$$Q_0 = (1+\alpha)\Phi(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\lambda_1^2 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\lambda_2^2) + \kappa(1-\alpha)\Phi(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q_1 =&(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1^2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_1^2})(\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 + (\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2^2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_2^2})(\nabla_1 h_{22})^2\\ &+ (\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1^2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_1^2})(\nabla_2 h_{11})^2 + (\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2^2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_2^2})(\nabla_2 h_{22})^2\\ &+ 2(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1\partial\lambda_2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_1\partial\lambda_2})\nabla_1 h_{11}\nabla_1 h_{22}\\ &+ 2(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1\partial\lambda_2} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial\lambda_1\partial\lambda_2})\nabla_2 h_{11}\nabla_2 h_{22}\\ &+ 2\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1}}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}(\nabla_1 h_{12})^2 + +2\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_2} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\lambda_1}}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}(\nabla_2 h_{12})^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By the definition of G (see (3.1)), we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_1} = \frac{4\lambda_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^3},\quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial\lambda_2} = \frac{4\lambda_1(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^3}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.6), we can simplify (3.4):

$$Q_0 = \frac{4\Phi G}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\Big(\kappa(\alpha-1) + (1+\alpha)\lambda_1\lambda_2\Big). \tag{3.7}$$

By the homogeneity of G and Φ, we have the following Euler equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2 = 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \lambda_1^2}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2 + \dfrac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \lambda_2^2}\lambda_2 + \dfrac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda_1}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2 + \alpha\Phi = 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \lambda_1^2}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2 + (1+\alpha)\dfrac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2}\lambda_1 + \dfrac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \lambda_2^2}\lambda_2 + (1+\alpha)\dfrac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

For each time $t > 0$, at the critical point of G with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, from (3.6), we have $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_i} \neq 0$, and the gradient condition on G, i.e., $0 = \nabla_i G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1}\nabla_i h_{11} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_2}\nabla_i h_{22},\ i = 1, 2$, leads to the following two equations:

$$\nabla_1 h_{11} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_2}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1}}\nabla_1 h_{22} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\nabla_1 h_{22},\ \nabla_2 h_{22} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_2}}\nabla_2 h_{11} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\nabla_2 h_{11}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that the Codazzi equations say that $\nabla_k h_{ij}$ is totally symmetric, i.e., we have $\nabla_1 h_{12} = \nabla_2 h_{11}$ and $\nabla_2 h_{12} = \nabla_1 h_{22}$. Using (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we can simplify (3.5):

$$Q_1 = \frac{4\alpha\Phi}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^3}\Big(\big((1+\alpha)\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + (1-\alpha)\big)(\nabla_1 h_{22})^2 + \big((1-\alpha) + (1+\alpha)\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\big)(\nabla_2 h_{11})^2\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

In view of (3.7) and (3.11), in the cases $\kappa = 0, -1$, if $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then we have $Q_0 \leq 0$ and $Q_1 \leq 0$. In the case $\kappa = 1$, if $\alpha = 1$, then $Q_0 \leq 0$ and $Q_1 \leq 0$. Applying the maximum principle, we conclude that the supremum of G on M_t is non-increasing in time t. □

Since the pinching ratio is given by $r = \frac{2}{1-\sqrt{\tilde{G}}} - 1$, as a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we have

Proposition 3.2. *Let M_t $(0 \leq t < T)$ be a family of smooth closed strictly convex surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3(\kappa)(\kappa = 0, 1, -1)$ flowing according to (1.1) with F satisfying Assumption 1.1. We assume that*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \in (0, 1], \ \text{if } \kappa = 0, -1, \\ \alpha = 1, \ \text{if } \kappa = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the pinching ratio of M_t is no greater than the pinching ratio of M_0, i.e, there exists a positive constant $\beta > 1$ which only depends on M_0 such that

$$\lambda_2 \leq \beta\lambda_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Remark 3.3. Since F is homogeneous of degree one, we have that $\partial F/\partial\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$ are homogeneous of degree zero, then we have that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i}\Big(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\Big).$$

By the pinching ratio estimate in Proposition 3.2, the supremum and infimum of $\partial F/\partial\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$ are attained on the compact set $\{(a, 1-a)) : |a-\frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{\beta-1}{2(\beta+1)}\}$ and hence there exists a positive constant c depending only on β (and therefore depending only on M_0) such that

$$0 < c^{-1} \leq \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i} \leq c, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \forall\ t \in [0, T). \tag{3.13}$$

Remark 3.4. We also have the following consequences of the pinching ratio estimate (3.12). As F is homogeneous of degree one, normalized with $F(1, 1) = 2$ and is strictly monotone in each argument, we have

$$\frac{2\lambda_2}{\beta} \leq 2\lambda_1 = F(\lambda_1, \lambda_1) \leq F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq F(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) = 2\lambda_2 \leq 2\beta\lambda_1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{F}{2\beta} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \frac{F}{2} \leq \lambda_2 \leq \frac{\beta F}{2}. \tag{3.14}$$

So we have

$$\dot{F}^{ij} g_{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_1} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_2} \ \geq\ \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_1}\lambda_1 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2\right) = \frac{F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\lambda_2} \geq \frac{2}{\beta}, \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\dot{F}^{ij} h_i^k h_{kj} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i}\lambda_i^2 \geq \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_1}\lambda_1 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_2}\lambda_2\right)\lambda_1 \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{\beta} F \geq \frac{1}{2\beta} F^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\dot{F}^{ij} g_{ij} \leq 2\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{F}^{ij} h_i^k h_{kj} \leq \frac{\beta}{2} F^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Remark 3.5. We further remark that the pinching ratio estimate in Proposition 3.2 can not be improved. For $\alpha > 1$, after a similar argument to that in [5, §5], we can obtain an example of smooth, strictly convex surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for which the pinching ratio becomes larger for any flow (1.1) with $\alpha > 1$. However, the fact that the pinching ratio does not improve obviously does not rule out the possibility that other curvature estimates may yield useful results, cf. the results in [15, 27] for $\alpha > 1$ case.

4. Flow in Euclidean space and proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we consider the flow (1.1) in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ and prove Theorem 1.2. We assume that F satisfies Assumption 1.1 and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Since the flow (1.1) is a parabolic equation with strictly convex initial data, by short time existence theorem we have a smooth solution on a maximal time interval $[0, T)$, $0 < T \leq \infty$. It remains to study the long time behavior of the flow.

The following lemma gives the evolution of spheres in $\mathbb{R}^3$ along the flow.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3, \rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Denote*

$$\rho(t, \rho_0) = \begin{cases} \left((1-\alpha)2^{-\alpha}t + \rho_0^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & \alpha \in (0, 1) \\ \rho_0 e^{t/2}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Then the spheres $\partial B_{\rho(t,\rho_0)}(x_0)$ solves (1.1) for $t \in [0, +\infty)$ with ρ_0 as the initial radius.

Proof. Since the flow (1.1) preserves the symmetry, in the sphere case, the equation (1.1) reduces to the following ODE for the radius of the spheres

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\rho(t,\rho_0) = 2^{-\alpha}\rho(t,\rho_0)^{\alpha}, \\ \quad \rho(0,\rho_0) = \rho_0. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Then the lemma follows immediately by solving (4.2). □

Since the initial surface M_0 is assumed to be strictly convex in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we can choose a point x_0 in the domain enclosed by M_0 such that M_0 is given by the graph of a smooth function u_0 over the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2(x_0) = \partial B_1(x_0)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that x_0 is the origin and denote $\mathbb{S}^2(x_0)$ simply by $\mathbb{S}^2$. Then

$$M_0 = \{(u_0(\theta),\theta), \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, the flow (1.1) preserves the star-shaped condition (see Section 2.3) and each flow surface M_t can be written as a graph of $u(t,\theta)$ over the sphere. By (2.12), the defining function u satisfies the following scalar flow equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1+|D\log u|^2_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}}\; F^{-\alpha}, \tag{4.4}$$

which is clearly parabolic.

We assume that the initial surface $M_0 =$ graph u_0 satisfies

$$\rho_1 < u_0(\theta) < \rho_2, \quad \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are two positive constants. By applying the maximum principle, we have

Lemma 4.2 ([15])**.** *As long as the flow (1.1) exists, the defining function $u(t,\theta)$ of the solution of the flow satisfies*

$$\rho(t,\rho_1) < u(t,\theta) < \rho(t,\rho_2),\ \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Moreover, for $\rho_1 < \bar{r} < \rho_2$, there exist two positive constants c_1, c_2 depending only on ρ_1, ρ_2 and α such that

$$0 < c_1 \le u(t,\theta)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) \le c_2, \quad \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2. \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, the flow is compactly contained in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for finite time t.

Moreover, by applying the maximum principle to the evolution equation of $|D\log u|_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$, we have

Lemma 4.3 ([15])**.** *The smooth solution u of (4.4) satisfies the following C^1-estimate*

$$|D\log u(t,\theta)|_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}} \le\ |D\log u(0,\theta)|_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}, \quad \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2. \tag{4.7}$$

4.1. **Long time existence.**

Lemma 4.4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, the function F is bounded from above:*

$$F(x,t) \le \max_{M_0} F, \quad \forall\ (x,t) \in M \times [0,T). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the evolution equation (2.4) and the maximum principle. □

Lemma 4.5 ([15]). *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, there exists a positive constant c_3 depending only on α and M_0 such that for $\rho_1 < \bar{r} < \rho_2$, we have*

$$\rho(t,\bar{r})F(x,t) \geq c_3 > 0, \quad \forall\ (x,t) \in M \times [0,T). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. This lemma was proved by Gerhardt in [15]. We include the proof here for the reader's convenience. Recall that the support function χ of the flow surfaces satisfies (see (2.17))

$$\partial_t \chi - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\chi = \ \dot{\Phi}\chi\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} + (\alpha-1)\Phi. \tag{4.10}$$

We define a function

$$\omega = \log(-\Phi) - \log\chi + (1-\alpha)\log\rho(t,\bar{r}).$$

By combining (2.4), (4.2) and (4.10), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\omega =& \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\omega_{ij} + \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\left(\omega_i\omega_j + 2\chi^{-1}\omega_i\chi_j\right)\\ &+ (1-\alpha)(\Phi\chi^{-1} + 2^{-\alpha}\rho^{\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r})).\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

If $\alpha = 1$, by (4.11) and the maximum principle, we obtain that $\max_{M_t}\omega$ is non-increasing in time t and therefore we have

$$\log\frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}(t,\bar{r})}{F^\alpha\chi} \leq \max_{M_0}\log\frac{\bar{r}^{1-\alpha}}{F^\alpha\chi},$$

which is equivalent to

$$F^\alpha\rho^{\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r})\chi \geq \min_{M_0}(F^\alpha\bar{r}^{\alpha-1}\chi). \tag{4.12}$$

Note that $\chi = \frac{u}{v} \leq u$, using the inequality in (4.6), we get $\frac{\rho(t,\bar{r})}{\chi} \geq \frac{1}{c_2}$, then from (4.12) we obtain that

$$F\rho(t,\bar{r}) \geq c_2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\min_{M_0}(F^\alpha\bar{r}^{\alpha-1}\chi))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, by applying the maximum principle to (4.11), we have the following inequality for the increasing maximum points of ω:

$$0 \leq \ \Phi\chi^{-1} + 2^{-\alpha}\rho^{\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r}),$$

since $\Phi = -F^{-\alpha}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\chi F^\alpha} \leq \ 2^{-\alpha}\rho^{\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r}).$$

Then we obtain that

$$\forall\ t>0,\ w = \log\frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}(t,\bar{r})}{\chi F^\alpha} \leq \log(\rho^{1-\alpha}(t,\bar{r})2^{-\alpha}\rho^{\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r})) = \log 2^{-\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\forall\ t>0,\ \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}(t,\bar{r})}{\chi F^\alpha} \leq 2^{-\alpha},$$

so we have that

$$\forall\ t>0,\ F\rho(t,\bar{r}) \geq 2c_2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where we used the same inequality $\frac{\rho(t,\bar{r})}{\chi} \geq \frac{1}{c_2}$ as in the case $\alpha = 1$. Therefore, we get that

$$F\rho(t,\bar{r}) \geq \min\{2c_2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \min_{M_0}(F\rho(t,\bar{r}))\}.$$

□

Proposition 4.6. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, the flow (1.1) exists for all time, i.e., $T = +\infty$.*

Proof. If $T < \infty$, then (4.9) and (4.8) imply that F is bounded from above and below by positive constants depending on α, M_0 and T. The bounds on F and (3.14) give us the uniform upper and lower positive bounds on the principal curvatures, which combining with the C^0 estimate (4.6) and C^1-estimate (4.7) yield the C^2-estimate of u. Moreover, by (3.13) and the bounds on F, the flow (1.1) and Eq. (4.4) are uniformly parabolic. We may apply the second order derivative Hölder estimates in [3] and parabolic Schauder estimates [22] to derive uniform bounds on all higher derivatives of the principal curvatures and of $u(t)$. Then we have a smooth limit function $u_T := \lim_{t\to T} u(t)$ which defines a smooth strictly convex surface M_T. The short time existence theorem then implies that we can continue the flow beyond the time T, which contradicts the definition of T. So we conclude that $T = \infty$. □

Remark 4.7. In general, for the application of the second derivative Hölder estimates of Krylov [20], we need that F is concave in its arguments. In the two-dimensional case, Andrews [3] proved that the second derivative Hölder estimates also holds without any concavity assumption on F.

4.2. **Convergence.** First, we refine the estimate (4.8) on the upper bound of F.

Lemma 4.8. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, there exists a positive constant c_4 depending only on α and M_0 such that for $\rho_1 < \bar{r} < \rho_2$, we have*

$$\rho(t,\bar{r})F \le c_4, \quad \forall\ t \in [0,\infty). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. We consider the case $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and the case $\alpha = 1$ separately.

For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we define $\phi = \Phi + \mu\rho^\alpha(t,\bar{r}) = -F^{-\alpha} + \mu\rho^\alpha(t,\bar{r})$, where $\mu \in (0,1]$ is a small constant such that $\phi < 0$ on M_0 and

$$\mu < 2^{-\alpha}\beta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}.$$

We aim to show that ϕ stays negative for such μ along the flow (1.1). By (2.4) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\phi - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\phi =& \Phi\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} + \mu\alpha 2^{-\alpha}\rho^{2\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r})\\ \le& -\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}F^{-2\alpha+1} + \mu\alpha 2^{-\alpha}\rho^{2\alpha-1}(t,\bar{r}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where we used the estimate (3.16). Let $t_0 > 0$ be the first time such that ϕ touches zero at some point $x_0 \in M_{t_0}$. Then at this point, we have that

$$0 = \phi(x_0,t_0) = -F^{-\alpha}(x_0,t_0) + \mu\rho^\alpha(t_0,\bar{r}). \tag{4.15}$$

Applying the maximum principle to (4.14) and using (4.15), we derive that

$$0 \le \mu\alpha 2^{-\alpha}\rho(t_0,\bar{r})^{2\alpha-1}\left(1 - 2^{\alpha-1}\beta^{-1}\mu^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus ϕ stays negative for all time $t \in [0,\infty)$ and we obtain that

$$\rho(t,\bar{r})F \le \mu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, we define $\phi = \Phi + \mu\chi$, where χ is the support function and μ is a positive constant such that $\phi \leq 0$ on M_0 . Combining (2.4) and (4.10), we have

$$\partial_t \phi - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\phi = \phi\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj}. \tag{4.16}$$

Since $\dot{\Phi} = F^{-2}$, the estimates (3.16)-(3.17) give that

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \leq \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} \leq \frac{\beta}{2},$$

so we have that $\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj}$ is a uniformly bounded function. By applying the maximum principle to (4.16), we conclude that $\phi \leq 0$ for all M_t, $\forall\ t \in [0, \infty)$. Therefore, we have

$$F\chi \leq \frac{1}{\mu}. \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand, by the C^0, C^1 estimates (4.6), (4.7), we know that $\chi \geq c\rho$ for some positive constant c. Thus $F\rho \leq 1/(c\mu)$. □

Note that we can always find a constant $\bar{r} \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,\theta)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) = 1.$$

If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, for any $\bar{r} \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$, using (4.5), we have that

$$1 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \rho(t,\rho_1)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) \leq \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,\theta)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) \leq \lim_{t\to\infty} \rho(t,\rho_2)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) = 1,$$

so we get that $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,\theta)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) = 1,\ \forall\ \bar{r} \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$. If $\alpha = 1$, as M_0 is strictly convex, after a similar argument to that in Section 6 of [21], there exists a unique $\bar{r} \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,\theta)\rho^{-1}(t,\bar{r}) = 1$.

We now rescale the surface by

$$\tilde{X}(x,t) = \rho(t,\bar{r})^{-1}X(x,t). \tag{4.18}$$

Define a new time function $\tau = \tau(t)$ by

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \rho(t,\bar{r})^{\alpha-1} \tag{4.19}$$

such that $\tau(0) = 0$. Then τ ranges from 0 to ∞. It's easy to check that the rescaled surface satisfies the following evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{X}}{\partial\tau} = \tilde{F}^{-\alpha}\nu - 2^{-\alpha}\tilde{X}. \tag{4.20}$$

Note that $\partial\tilde{F}/\partial\tilde{\lambda}_i$ is homogeneity of degree zero and is scaling invariant, then from (3.13) it is also bounded on the rescaled surfaces. By the pinching estimate (3.12) and the bounds (4.9), (4.13) on the rescaled speed function $\tilde{F} = \rho(t,\bar{r})F$, we have that the principal curvatures of the rescaled surfaces are also uniformly bounded from above and below by positive constants. Moreover, the uniform bounds on $\tilde{F}$ and $\partial\tilde{F}/\partial\tilde{\lambda}_i$ imply that the flow (4.20) is uniformly parabolic. By the standard argument using the Hölder estimates [3], parabolic Schauder estimates [22] and interpolation inequalities, we can conclude that the rescaled flow smoothly converges to a unit sphere. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. Flow in Hyperbolic space and proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we consider the flow (1.1) in Euclidean space $\mathbb{H}^3$ and prove Theorem 1.2. We assume that F satisfies Assumption 1.1 and $\alpha \in (0,1]$. Since the flow (1.1) is a parabolic equation with strictly convex initial data, by short time existence theorem we have a smooth solution on a maximal time interval $[0,T)$, $0 < T \leq \infty$. It remains to study the long time behavior of the flow.

Fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^3$, we consider geodesic polar coordinates centered at x_0. The metric on $\mathbb{H}^3$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^2}.$$

As in Euclidean case, if the initial surface is a geodesic sphere S_{ρ_0} in $\mathbb{H}^3$, then along the flow (1.1), the flow surfaces are also geodesic spheres with radius $\rho(t,\rho_0)$ solving the following ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t,\rho_0) = 2^{-\alpha}\tanh^{\alpha}\rho(t,\rho_0). \tag{5.1}$$

with $\rho(0,\rho_0) = \rho_0$. Since $2^{-\alpha}\tanh^{\alpha}\rho(t,\rho_0) \leq 2^{-\alpha}$, we have that (5.1) has solution for all $t \in [0,\infty)$. Moreover, it follows from

$$\tanh^{\alpha}\rho_0 \leq \tanh^{\alpha}\rho(t,\rho_0) \leq 1$$

that

$$\rho_0 + \frac{t}{2^{\alpha}}\tanh^{\alpha}\rho_0 \leq \rho(t,\rho_0) \leq \rho_0 + \frac{t}{2^{\alpha}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Suppose that M_0 is a strictly convex surface in $\mathbb{H}^3$, then M_0 can be given by a graph of a positive function $u_0(\theta)$ over the geodesic sphere S^2 centered at some point x_0 in the enclosed domain by M_0. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, the flow (1.1) preserves the star-shaped condition (see Section 2.3) and each flow surface M_t can be written as a graph of a function $u(t,\theta)$ over the sphere. By (2.12), the defining function $u(t,\theta)$ satisfies the following scalar flow equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = vF^{-\alpha} \tag{5.3}$$

with

$$v = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}/\sinh^2 u}. \tag{5.4}$$

By applying the maximum principle, we have

Lemma 5.1 ([14, 27]). (1) *The solution u of (5.3) satisfies that*

$$\rho(t, \inf u(0,\cdot)) \leq u(t,\theta) \leq \rho(t, \sup u(0,\cdot)), \quad \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2. \tag{5.5}$$

(2) *There exists a constant c depending on M_0 and α such that*

$$0 < c^{-1} \leq e^{-\frac{t}{2^{\alpha}}}\sinh u(t,\theta) \leq c, \quad \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

and

$$\coth u(t,\theta) - 1 \leq ce^{-2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot}t},\ \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Lemma 5.2 ([14, 27]). *The solution u of (5.3) satisfies the following C^1-estimate*

$$v(t,\theta) \leq \sup v(0,\cdot), \quad \forall\ t \in [0,T),\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2, \tag{5.6}$$

where v is defined in (5.4).

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, there exists a constant c depending only on M_0, α such that*

$$0 < c^{-1} \leq F(x,t) \leq c, \quad \forall\ (x,t) \in M \times [0,T). \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. The proof is essentially given in [14, 27]. The only difference is that when deriving the lower bound of F, the inequality

$$\dot{F}^{ij} g_{ij} \geq 2 \tag{5.8}$$

which is due to the concavity of F, is used crucially in [14, 27]. In our case, the pinching estimate (3.12) implies a similar lower bound $\dot{F}^{ij} g_{ij} \geq 2/\beta$ given in (3.15), which can play the same role as (5.8). The remaining part of the proof is the same with that in [14, 27]. □

The estimate (5.7) on F and (3.13) on $\partial F/\partial \lambda_i, i = 1, 2$, give us the uniform upper and lower positive bounds on the principal curvatures λ_1, λ_2. Using the same argument as that in the proof of Proposition 4.6, we derive that

Proposition 5.4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, the flow (1.1) in $\mathbb{H}^3$ exists for all time, i.e., $T = \infty$.*

Up to now, we have proved that the curvature function F and the principal curvatures λ_1, λ_2 of M_t are bounded from above and below by uniform positive constants depending only on α and M_0 for all time $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, the pinching ratio β of M_t tends to 1 exponentially as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \max_{M_t} G \leq -\frac{4F^{-\alpha}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} (1 - \alpha + (1+\alpha)\lambda_1 \lambda_2) \max_{M_t} G \ \leq \ -C \max_{M_t} G,$$

where we used the estimates on F and λ_1, λ_2 and therefore $C > 0$ is a positive constant depending only on α and M_0. Then

$$G \leq e^{-Ct} \max_{M_0} G \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

□

From Section 2.2, we know that for a graph $M =$ graph u over a geodesic sphere in $\mathbb{H}^3$, the induced metric on M is

$$g_{ij} = u_i u_j + \sinh^2 u \sigma_{ij}, \tag{5.9}$$

where σ_{ij} is the components of $g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. The second fundamental form of M satisfies

$$h_{ij} v^{-1} = -u_{ij} + \sinh u \cosh u \sigma_{ij}, \tag{5.10}$$

where v is defined in (5.4) and the covariant derivatives u_{ij} are taken with respect to the induced metric of M. In Theorem 4.1 of [27], it was proved that there exist constants $\lambda > 0$, $c > 0$ depending on α, M_0 such that

$$v - 1 \leq \ ce^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall\ t \in [0, \infty). \tag{5.11}$$

Note that the concavity of F is not required in the proof of (5.11). Recall that the support function χ of the graph $M = \text{graph } u$ in $\mathbb{H}^3$ is defined by

$$\chi = \bar{g}((\sinh u)\partial_r, \nu) = v^{-1}\sinh u.$$

In view of the estimate (5.11) and Lemma 5.1, along the flow (1.1) in $\mathbb{H}^3$, we have that

$$\sup_{M_t} |\log \chi - u + \log 2| = \sup_{M_t} |\log(2e^{-u}v^{-1}\sinh u)| \leq ce^{-\lambda t}. \tag{5.12}$$

By (2.15), the first order derivative of the support function χ satisfies $\chi_i = \bar{g}(\sinh u\, \partial_r, h_i^k \partial_k)$, so we have

$$\chi^{-1}|\chi_i| \leq \chi^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_2 \frac{(1-\bar{g}(\partial_r,\nu)^2)^{1/2}}{\bar{g}(\partial_r,\nu)} = \lambda_2\sqrt{v^2-1} \leq ce^{-\lambda t} \tag{5.13}$$

on M_t, as the principal curvature λ_2 is uniformly bounded. We note that the c and λ in Eqs. (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13) are not the same, but all of them only depend on α and M_0.

Lemma 5.6. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, the principal curvatures λ_1, λ_2 of M_t converge to 1 as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. Recall that we have the following relation between F and λ_i (see (3.14)) which is due to the pinching estimate:

$$2\lambda_1 \leq F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq 2\lambda_2 \leq 2\beta\lambda_1.$$

Since the pinching ratio β of M_t tends to 1 as $t \to \infty$ by Lemma 5.5, in order to prove this lemma it suffices to prove

$$\sup_{M_t} |F(x,t) - 2| \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

(i). First, we prove

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \inf_{M_t} F(x,t) \geq 2. \tag{5.15}$$

The proof is similar to part (ii) of Lemma 4.3 in [27]. We define a function on M_t by

$$\omega = \log(-\Phi) - \log\chi + u + (\alpha - 1)\log 2, \tag{5.16}$$

which is slightly different from the one in part (ii) of Lemma 4.3 of [27]. In view of the estimate (5.12), in order to prove (5.15), it suffices to prove that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup_{M_t} \omega \leq 0. \tag{5.17}$$

By using (2.4), (5.3) and (2.17), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\omega =& \Phi^{-1}\partial_t\Phi - \chi^{-1}\partial_t\chi + \partial_t u \\
=& \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}(\Phi^{-1}\nabla_i\nabla_j\Phi - \chi^{-1}\nabla_i\nabla_j\chi) - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij} + (1-\alpha)\Phi\chi^{-1}\cosh u + vF^{-\alpha} \\
=& \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\omega_{ij} + \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\left(\omega_i\omega_j + 2\omega_i(\chi^{-1}\chi_j - u_j) - 2\chi^{-1}\chi_i u_j + u_i u_j - u_{ij}\right) \\
& - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij} + (1-\alpha)\Phi\chi^{-1}\cosh u + vF^{-\alpha} \\
=& \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\omega_{ij} + \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\left(\omega_i\omega_j + 2\omega_i(\chi^{-1}\chi_j - u_j) - 2\chi^{-1}\chi_i u_j + (1+\coth u)u_i u_j\right) \\
& - (1+\coth u)\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij} + \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_{ij}v^{-1} + (1-\alpha)\Phi\chi^{-1}\cosh u + vF^{-\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

where in the last equality we used the expressions (5.9) and (5.10). Note that all the covariant derivatives in the equation above are taken with respect to the induced metric

on M_t. Denote $\tilde{\omega}(t) = \sup_{M_t} \omega = \omega(t, \theta_t)$, which is a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Then at the point (t, θ_t), $\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\omega_{ij} \leq 0$ and $\omega_i = 0$. Moreover, by using the estimates (5.11), (5.13) and noting that $|\nabla u(t)|^2_{g_t} = \sinh^{-2} u(t)v(t)^{-2}|Du(t)|^2_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$, we have that

$$\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\left(-2\chi^{-1}\chi_i u_j + (1+\coth u)u_i u_j\right) \ \to \ 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Then from (5.18), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\omega}(t) \leq & o(1) - 4\alpha\beta^{-1}F^{-\alpha-1}(t,\theta_t) + (2\alpha - 1 + v)F^{-\alpha}(t,\theta_t)\\ \leq & \ o(1) + 2\alpha(F(t,\theta_t) - 2)F^{-\alpha-1}(t,\theta_t) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

where we used that $\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij} \geq 2/\beta$ (see (3.15)), $\coth u \geq 1$, and the estimates $\beta, v \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$ which are obtained in (5.11) and Lemma 5.5.

We claim that: $\forall\ \epsilon > 0$, there exist $t_\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$A_\epsilon := \left\{t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty) \cap D : \tilde{\omega}(t) > \epsilon\right\} \ \subset \ \left\{t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty) \cap D : \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\omega}(t) \leq -\delta_\epsilon\right\}, \tag{5.20}$$

where D is the set of points of differentiability of $\tilde{\omega}$.

Since we have already proved that F is bounded, there exists a constant c which depends on α and M_0 such that $2\alpha F^{-\alpha-1} \geq c(\alpha, M_0)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we define $\delta_\epsilon = c(1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2\alpha}}) > 0$, which depends on α, M_0 and ϵ. By the estimate (5.12) and (5.19), we can choose $t_\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$-\log\chi + u - \log 2 \ < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\omega}(t) \leq \ \delta_\epsilon + 2\alpha(F(t,\theta_t) - 2)F^{-\alpha-1}(t,\theta_t), \ \forall\ t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty). \tag{5.21}$$

Then for any $t \in A_\epsilon$, $\log(-\Phi 2^\alpha)(t, \theta_t) > \epsilon/2$, which implies that $F(t, \theta_t) < 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2\alpha}}$. Then in view of (5.21), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\omega}(t) \leq \ \delta_\epsilon + c(2e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2\alpha}} - 2) = -\delta_\epsilon.$$

This proves the claim (5.20). By an easy exercise of Calculus (cf. Lemma 4.2 in [27]), the estimate (5.17) follows from the claim (5.20).

(ii). Second, we prove the other direction

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup_{M_t} F(x,t) \leq 2. \tag{5.22}$$

We modify (5.16) and define a new function on M_t by

$$\phi = -\log(-\Phi) - \log\chi + u - (\alpha + 1)\log 2.$$

We aim to prove that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup_{M_t} \phi \leq 0, \tag{5.23}$$

which is equivalent to (5.22) in view of the estimate (5.12). By a direct calculation, ϕ satisfies the following evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\phi = & \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\phi_{ij} - \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}\left(\phi_i\phi_j + 2\phi_i(\chi^{-1}\chi_j - u_j) + 2\chi^{-2}\chi_i\chi_j - 2\chi^{-1}\chi_i u_j + (1 - \coth u)u_i u_j\right)\\ & - 2\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} + (1 - \coth u)\dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}g_{ij} + \dot{\Phi}\dot{F}^{ij}h_{ij}v^{-1} + (1-\alpha)\Phi\chi^{-1}\cosh u + vF^{-\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote $\tilde{\phi}(t) = \sup_{M_t} \phi = \phi(t, \theta_t)$, which is also a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\phi}(t) \leq & o(1) - \alpha\beta^{-1}F^{-\alpha+1}(t,\theta_t) + \alpha(v^{-1}+v)F^{-\alpha}(t,\theta_t)\\ \leq & \, o(1) + \alpha(2 - F(t,\theta_t))F^{-\alpha}(t,\theta_t) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\dot{F}^{ij}h_i^k h_{kj} \geq F^2/2\beta$ (see (3.16)), $\coth u \geq 1$ and $\beta, v \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$ (see (5.11) and Lemma 5.5). Then the remaining proof follows after a similar argument to that in part (i). □

Now we can follow the similar argument as that in [27] to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, by the same argument as that in Theorem 4.4 of [27], we can obtain the optimal convergence rate of the principal curvatures, i.e.,

$$|h_i^j - \delta_i^j| \ \leq \ ce^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}, \quad \forall\ t > 0, \tag{5.24}$$

where c is a positive constant depending on α and M_0. The conclusion of Lemma 5.6 plays a crucial role in dealing with the bad terms involving the derivatives of the second fundamental form. Second, using the argument in [27, §5], we can also obtain uniform higher order derivative estimates. Finally, using the conformally flat parametrization as that in [27, §6], we obtain the convergence (1.3) of the defining function $u(t,\theta)$. We refer the readers to [27] for more details.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5 by constructing the required example. As in [19], we define the quantity for a smooth surface M in $\mathbb{H}^3$ by

$$Q(M) = -|M| \int_M |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathring{A}$ is the trace-less part of the second fundamental form of M. The following proposition characterizes when the limit of rescaled metric is a round metric in terms of the value of Q.

Proposition 6.1 ([19]). *Let $\tilde{M}_s$ be a family of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3$ that are radial graphs of the function $u(s,\theta) = cs + f(\theta) + o(1)$ and g_{ij} be the induced metric on $\tilde{M}_s$. Then the limit of the rescaled metric $e^{-2cs}g_{ij}$ as $s \to \infty$ is a round metric if and only if $\lim_{s\to\infty} Q(\tilde{M}_s) = 0$.*

Given an initial star-shaped and mean convex surface M_0 in $\mathbb{H}^3$, we consider the smooth solution M_t of the following flow

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}X(x,t) = H^{-\alpha}(x,t)\nu(x,t),\\ \quad X(\cdot,0) = X_0(\cdot),\end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that M_t is a smooth solution of the flow (6.2). We have that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}Q(M_t) = & \, |M_t| \int_{M_t} H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t - \int_{M_t} H^{1-\alpha} \int_{M_t} |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t\\ & + \alpha|M_t| \int_{M_t} H^{-1-\alpha}|\nabla H|^2 d\mu_t.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. Along the flow (6.2), it follows from (2.3) and (2.5) that the second fundamental form h_i^j of M_t evolves by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_i^j =& -\nabla^j\nabla_i H^{-\alpha} - H^{-\alpha}h_i^k h_k^j + H^{-\alpha}\delta_i^j\\ =& -\nabla^j\nabla_i H^{-\alpha} - H^{-\alpha}\mathring{h}_i^k\mathring{h}_k^j - H^{1-\alpha}\mathring{h}_i^j + H^{-\alpha}(1-\frac{H^2}{4})\delta_i^j,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathring{h}_i^j = h_i^j - \frac{H}{2}\delta_i^j$ is the trace-less part of the second fundamental form. Then we have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathring{h}_i^j = -\nabla^j\nabla_i H^{-\alpha} - H^{-\alpha}\mathring{h}_i^k\mathring{h}_k^j - H^{1-\alpha}\mathring{h}_i^j + H^{-\alpha}(1-\frac{H^2}{4})\delta_i^j - \frac{1}{2}\partial_t H\delta_i^j.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\mathring{A}|^2 = -2\mathring{h}_j^i\nabla^j\nabla_i H^{-\alpha} - 2H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2, \tag{6.4}$$

where we used the facts $\mathring{h}_i^k\mathring{h}_k^j\mathring{h}_j^i = 0$ and $\mathring{h}_j^i\delta_i^j = 0$. Since the area form $d\mu_t$ evolves by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}d\mu_t = H^{1-\alpha}d\mu_t, \tag{6.5}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}|\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t =& -2\int_{M_t}\mathring{h}_j^i\nabla^j\nabla_i H^{-\alpha}d\mu_t - \int_{M_t}H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t\\ =& 2\int_{M_t}\nabla^j\mathring{h}_j^i\nabla_i H^{-\alpha}d\mu_t - \int_{M_t}H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t\\ =& -\alpha\int_{M_t}H^{-1-\alpha}|\nabla H|^2 d\mu_t - \int_{M_t}H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t,\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where in the last equality we used the Codazzi equation to obtain

$$\nabla^j\mathring{h}_j^i = \nabla^j h_j^i - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^i H = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^i H.$$

The evolution equation (6.3) follows by combining (6.6) and (6.5). □

We now describe the procedure of constructing the example in Theorem 1.5. Choose a function $\bar{f}(\theta)$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}e^{2\bar{f}}d\mu_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}|\mathring{D}^2 e^{-\bar{f}}|^2_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}d\mu_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = c_0 > 0,$$

where $\mathring{D}^2 e^{-\bar{f}}$ means the traceless part of the Hessian of $e^{-\bar{f}}$, and $g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ means the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Recall that the metric on $\mathbb{H}^3$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r\, g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

for the (r,θ) coordinates centered at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^3$. Let $\tilde{M}_s$ be the family of surfaces given by the radial graph of $u(s,\theta) = s + \bar{f}(\theta)$ over $\mathbb{S}^2$ in the (r,θ) coordinates of $\mathbb{H}^3$. We know from [19] that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}Q(\tilde{M}_s) = -c_0. \tag{6.7}$$

Proposition 6.3 ([24]). *There exists a constant C_0 depending only on the bound*

$$E := \sup_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{3} |\nabla^i \bar{f}|_{g_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$$

such that for all $s \geq 1$, the mean curvature H and the second fundamental form A of $\tilde{M}_s$ satisfy that

$$|\tilde{M}_s||H-2| + |\tilde{M}_s||\mathring{A}| + |\tilde{M}_s|^3|\nabla A|^2 \leq C_0. \tag{6.8}$$

By choosing $s_0 > 0$ large enough and using the estimate (6.8), we can make sure that for any $s \geq s_0$, we have

$$3 \geq H \geq \epsilon_0, \quad \bar{g}(\partial_r, \nu) \geq \epsilon_0, \quad |\mathring{A}|^2 < \frac{1}{4}H^2. \tag{6.9}$$

Then $\tilde{M}_s$ is mean-convex and star-shaped for any $s \geq s_0$. Denote the in-radius and out-radius of the initial surface $\tilde{M}_s = \text{graph } u(s,\theta)(= s + \bar{f}(\theta))$ in the (r,θ) coordinates of $\mathbb{H}^3$ by $\underline{r}_0$ and $\bar{r}_0$, i.e., $\underline{r}_0 = \inf u(s,\theta)$, $\bar{r}_0 = \sup u(s,\theta)$. Clearly, $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0 = \text{osc}(\bar{f})$ is independent of s. We consider the solution M_t^s of the flow (6.2) starting from $\tilde{M}_s$, where t is the time parameter.

Proposition 6.4. *There exist constants $s_0 = s_0(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ and $C = C(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ depending only on $\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$, and E such that for any $s \geq s_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{M}_s|^2|H-2|^2 + |\tilde{M}_s|^2|\mathring{A}|^2 &\leq Ce^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t} \\ |\tilde{M}_s|^3|\nabla A|^2 &\leq Ce^{-3\cdot 2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

on the solution M_t^s of the flow (6.2) starting from $\tilde{M}_s$.

The key point of the estimates (6.10) is that the constant C is independent of the parameter s. The proof of (6.10) is technical and will be given in the next subsection.

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.5 using the estimate (6.10). By (6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Q(M_t^s) = |M_t^s| \int_{M_t^s} |\mathring{A}|^2 \left(H^{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{|M_t^s|}\int_{M_t^s} H^{1-\alpha} \right) d\mu_t \\ + \alpha |M_t^s| \int_{M_t^s} H^{-1-\alpha} |\nabla H|^2 d\mu_t. \end{aligned}$$

Since the volume element evolves by (6.5), then using the estimate (6.10) we have

$$|M_t^s| \leq \tilde{C}|\tilde{M}_s| e^{2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q(M_t^s) \leq \tilde{C}|\tilde{M}_s|^{-1} e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}, \tag{6.11}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a constant independent of s. In view of (6.7), we can choosing s_0 larger such that for any $s \geq s_0$,

$$Q(\tilde{M}_s) < -\frac{c_0}{2}, \quad \tilde{C}|\tilde{M}_s|^{-1} \leq 2^{-1-\alpha} c_0.$$

Integrating (6.11) gives that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} Q(M_t^s) \leq -\frac{c_0}{4} < 0. \tag{6.12}$$

By the convergence result of Theorem 1.2 in [27], the solution M_t^s exists for all time and is given by the radial graph of the function

$$u(t,\theta)=\frac{t}{2^\alpha}+f(\theta)+o(1),\quad \text{as } t\to\infty,$$

where $f(\theta)$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Then by combining (6.12) and Proposition 6.1, we obtain that the limit of the rescaled metric $e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}g_t$ is not a round metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Moreover, as

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}g_t=\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\sinh^2 u(t,\theta)g_{\mathbb{S}^2}=\frac{1}{4}e^{2f(\theta)}g_{\mathbb{S}^2},$$

then the fact that $e^{2f(\theta)}g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is not a round metric implies that $e^{-f(\theta)}$ is not a linear combination of constants and first eigenfunctions of $\mathbb{S}^2$ (cf. Lemma 4 in [19]).

6.1. **Proof of Proposition 6.4.** For the simplicity of the notations, in this subsection, we write $\tilde{M}_s$ as M_0, and M_t^s as M_t. First, we have the following evolution equations for g,χ,H and A, which follow from direct calculation using (2.3), (2.5) and (2.17).

Lemma 6.5. *Along the flow (6.2), we have*

(1) *The induced metric on M_t evolves by*

$$\partial_t g_{ij} = 2H^{-\alpha}h_{ij}. \tag{6.13}$$

(2) *The support function $\chi=\bar{g}(\sinh u\partial_r,\nu)$ satisfies*

$$\partial_t\chi = \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\chi+\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|A|^2\chi+(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha}\cosh u. \tag{6.14}$$

(3) *The mean curvature H satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t H =&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta H-\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}|\nabla H|^2-|A|^2H^{-\alpha}+2H^{-\alpha}\\ =&-\Delta H^{-\alpha}-|A|^2H^{-\alpha}+2H^{-\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

(4) *The second fundamental form $A=(h_{ij})$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h_{ij} =&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta h_{ij}-\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}\nabla_i H\nabla_j H+\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|A|^2h_{ij}\\ &+(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha}h_i^k h_{kj}+(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha}g_{ij}+2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}h_{ij},\\ \partial_t|A|^2 =&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta|A|^2-2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla A|^2-2\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}h_{ij}\nabla^i H\nabla^j H\\ &+2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|A|^4-2(1+\alpha)H^{-\alpha}h_i^k h_k^j h_j^i\\ &+2(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha+1}+4\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|A|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.16, 6.17}$$

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\tilde{\chi}=e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\chi$. Then for each $t\geq 0$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{\bar{r}_0}\geq\tilde{\chi}(x,t)\geq\min\tilde{\chi}(\cdot,0)\geq\epsilon_0\sinh\underline{r}_0 \tag{6.18}$$

on the solution M_t of (6.2).

Proof. First, since $\alpha\in(0,1)$, we know from (6.14) that $\tilde{\chi}=e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\chi>0$ is preserved along the flow. By using (6.14), we have that

$$\partial_t\tilde{\chi} = \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\tilde{\chi}+\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|A|^2\tilde{\chi}+(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha}e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\cosh u-\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\tilde{\chi}. \tag{6.19}$$

Since

$$\cosh u\geq\sinh u\geq\chi,\quad |A|^2\geq H^2/2,\quad \alpha\in(0,1),$$

(6.19) implies that

$$\partial_t \tilde{\chi} \ \geq \ \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\tilde{\chi} + \tilde{\chi}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}H^{-\alpha+1} + (1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right). \tag{6.20}$$

We claim that the terms in the bracket of (6.20) is always nonnegative for $\forall\ H > 0, \forall\ \alpha \in (0,1)$. In fact, for each fixed $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the minimum of

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}H^{-\alpha+1} + (1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2^\alpha}$$

is achieved at $H = 2$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}H^{-\alpha+1} + (1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \ \geq \ \frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot 2^{-\alpha+1} + (1-\alpha)2^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2^\alpha} = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{\chi}$ is always positive, (6.20) implies that

$$\tilde{\chi}(x,t) \ \geq \ \min\tilde{\chi}(\cdot,0) \geq \epsilon_0 \sinh \underline{r}_0.$$

On the other hand, by using (5.2) and (5.5), we know that $u(t) \leq \rho(t,\bar{r}_0) \leq \bar{r}_0 + \frac{t}{2^\alpha}$, so we obtain that

$$\tilde{\chi}(x,t) = e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\sinh u(t) v^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{u(t)-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}v^{-1} \leq \frac{e^{\bar{r}_0}}{2}.$$

□

Lemma 6.7. *The mean curvature H of M_t is bounded from below by a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0)$ depending only on α, ϵ_0, and $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta_t(x) = \tilde{\chi}^{-1}(x,t)H^{-\alpha}(x,t)$, where $\tilde{\chi} = e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\chi$ is defined in Lemma 6.6. Combining (6.15) and (6.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\zeta_t &= \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\zeta_t + 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\nabla\zeta_t\cdot\nabla\ln\tilde{\chi} \\
&\quad + (\alpha-1)\zeta_t^2 e^{-\frac{t}{2^\alpha}}\cosh u - 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\zeta_t + \frac{1}{2^\alpha}\zeta_t \\
&\leq \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\zeta_t + 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\nabla\ln\tilde{\chi}\cdot\nabla\zeta_t + \left(-2\alpha\zeta_t^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right)\zeta_t,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then applying the maximum principle to the differential inequality above, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_t(x) &\leq \ \max\left\{\max_{M_0}\zeta_0, 2^{-\alpha}\alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}(\min\tilde{\chi})^{-1}\right\} \\
&\leq (\min\tilde{\chi}(\cdot,0))^{-1}\max\left\{\epsilon_0^{-\alpha}, 2^{-\alpha}\alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_0 is the same constant with that in (6.9). By the definition of ζ_t and the estimate in Lemma 6.6, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x,t) \geq &(\frac{\max\tilde{\chi}(\cdot,t)}{\min\tilde{\chi}(\cdot,0)})^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\max\{\epsilon_0^{-\alpha}, 2^{-\alpha}\alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
\geq &\epsilon_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\bar{r}_0-\underline{r}_0)}\left(1-e^{-2\underline{r}_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\cdot\min\{\epsilon_0, 2\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\} \\
\geq &2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\epsilon_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\bar{r}_0-\underline{r}_0)}\cdot\min\{\epsilon_0, 2\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

since s_0 can be chosen large enough in (6.9) and then $1 - e^{-2\underline{r}_0}$ will be greater than $1/2$. Then the lemma follows by defining C_1 as the right hand side of (6.21). □

In the following, we prove Proposition 6.4 by proving Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.9 (note that in the proof of Lemma 6.8, we obtain that H is bounded from below and above by positive constants which do not depend on s, hence $|H-2|$ and $|H^2-4|$ have the same decay rates). We note that in the proof of Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.9, we always choose s_0 large enough such that $|M_0|>1$.

Lemma 6.8. *There exist constants $s_0 = s_0(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ and $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ such that for any $s \geq s_0$,*

$$|M_0|^2 |\mathring{A}|^2(x,t) \leq C_2 e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}, \tag{6.22}$$

$$|M_0||H^2(x,t) - 4| \leq C_2 e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} \tag{6.23}$$

on M_t along the flow (6.2).

Proof. We adapt the idea of Neves [24] in dealing with the case $\alpha = 1$. However, as here we have $0 < \alpha < 1$, several technique difficulties arise in obtaining the sharp decay rates.

We define $\gamma_t = |\mathring{A}|^2 H^{-2}$, then $\gamma_t = |A|^2 H^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}$. Combining (6.15) and (6.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(|A|^2H^{-2}) =& \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta(|A|^2H^{-2}) + 2(\alpha+1)H^{1-\alpha}(|A|^2H^{-2})^2\\ &+ 4(\alpha-1)H^{-\alpha-1}(|A|^2H^{-2}) + 2(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha-1}\\ &- 2(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}h_i^k h_k^j h_j^i + Q,\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

where Q is the gradient terms

$$\begin{aligned}Q =& 4\alpha H^{-\alpha-4}\nabla|A|^2\cdot\nabla H - 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla A|^2\\ &- 2\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-4}h_{ij}\nabla^i H\nabla^j H + 2\alpha(\alpha-2)H^{-\alpha-5}|A|^2|\nabla H|^2.\end{aligned}$$

As the dimension of M_t is 2, then

$$h_i^k h_k^j h_j^i = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^3 = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\mathring{\lambda}_i + \frac{H}{2})^3 = \frac{3}{2}|\mathring{A}|^2 H + \frac{H^3}{4}, \tag{6.25}$$

where $\mathring{\lambda}_1, \mathring{\lambda}_2$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathring{A}$. Substituting $|A|^2H^{-2} = \gamma_t + \frac{1}{2}$ and (6.25) into (6.24), we have

$$\partial_t\gamma_t = \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\gamma_t + 2(\alpha+1)H^{1-\alpha}\gamma_t(\gamma_t - \frac{1}{2}) + 4(\alpha-1)H^{-\alpha-1}\gamma_t + Q. \tag{6.26}$$

At the critical point p of γ_t, we have

$$\nabla|\mathring{A}|^2 = 2|\mathring{A}|^2 H^{-1}\nabla H. \tag{6.27}$$

Then at p, the gradient term Q satisfies (cf. [24, p.208])

$$\begin{aligned}Q =& 2\alpha^2 H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla H|^2\gamma_t - \alpha H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla H|^2\\ &+ 2\alpha(1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha-4}\mathring{h}_{ij}\nabla^i H\nabla^j H\\ \leq& 2\alpha(\alpha + (1-\alpha)^2)H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla H|^2\gamma_t - \frac{\alpha}{2}H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla H|^2\\ \leq& 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-3}|\nabla H|^2(\gamma_t - \frac{1}{4}),\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in the first inequality and $0 < 1-\alpha < 1$ in the last inequality.

As we assumed in (6.9) that the initial surface satisfies $\gamma_0 < 1/4$, by (6.26) and (6.28) and noting that $\alpha \leq 1$, we have that $\gamma_t < 1/4$ for each $t > 0$. Moreover, using $\gamma_t < 1/4$, Lemma 6.7 and applying the maximum principle to (6.26) and (6.28) again, we have that

$$\gamma_t \ \leq \ (\max_{M_0} \gamma_0) e^{-\delta_1 t}, \tag{6.29}$$

where $0 < \delta_1 = \frac{\alpha+1}{2} C_1^{1-\alpha}$ is a positive constant depending only on α, ϵ_0,and $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$, i.e., $\gamma_t \to 0$ exponentially as $t \to \infty$ in the rate δ_1. In the following, we improve the rate of the exponentially decay in (6.29) step by step.

We define another function on M_t by

$$\phi_t = e^{2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}} (H^2 - 4). \tag{6.30}$$

By using (6.15), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_t =& \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta \phi_t - \alpha(\alpha+2) H^{-\alpha-2} \nabla \phi_t \cdot \nabla H \\ & - 2H^{1-\alpha} \left(|A|^2 - 2 \right) e^{2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}} + 2^{1-\alpha} \phi_t \\ \leq & \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta \phi_t - \alpha(\alpha+2) H^{-\alpha-2} \nabla \phi_t \cdot \nabla H - \left(H^{1-\alpha} - 2^{1-\alpha} \right) \phi_t \\ \leq & \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta \phi_t - \alpha(\alpha+2) H^{-\alpha-2} \nabla \phi_t \cdot \nabla H, \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

where we used that facts that $|A|^2 \geq H^2/2$ and $H^{1-\alpha} - 2^{1-\alpha}$ has the same sign with ϕ_t. Applying the maximum principle to (6.31), we obtain that

$$H^2 \leq 4 + (\max_{M_0} |H^2 - 4|) e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}}. \tag{6.32}$$

Substituting (6.29) into (6.26), using the estimate (6.28) and (6.32), we have that at the critical point of γ_t,

$$\partial_t \gamma_t \leq \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta \gamma_t - \left(2 - 2(\alpha+1)(\max_{M_0} \gamma_0) e^{-\delta_1 t} - \frac{1-\alpha}{4} (\max_{M_0} |H^2 - 4|) e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}} \right) H^{1-\alpha} \gamma_t. \tag{6.33}$$

Then the maximum principle implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t \leq & (\max_{M_0} \gamma_0) e^{-\delta_2 t} \exp\left(\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\delta_1} (\max_{M_0} \gamma_0)(\max H^{1-\alpha}) + 2^{\alpha-3}(1-\alpha)(\max_{M_0} |H^2 - 4|)(\max H^{1-\alpha}) \right) \\ =: & \, C_3 (\max_{M_0} \gamma_0) e^{-\delta_2 t}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

where $C_3 = C_3(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0)$, and $\delta_2 = 2C_1^{1-\alpha} > \delta_1$ are both positive constants depending only on α, ϵ_0,and $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$.

We now improve the lower bound of H in Lemma 6.7. From the evolution equation (6.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t H^{1-\alpha} =& \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta H^{1-\alpha} - \alpha(1-\alpha) H^{-2\alpha-2} |\nabla H|^2 \\ & - (1-\alpha) H^{-2\alpha} |\mathring{A}|^2 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} H^{-2\alpha} (H^2 - 4). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the minimum point p of H on M_t. Our aim is to show that there exists a constant $C_4(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0)$ such that $H^{1-\alpha} \geq 2^{1-\alpha} - C_4 e^{-C_1^{(1-\alpha)\cdot t}}$ with C_1 the lower bound given in Lemma 6.7, then we can improve the estimate in (6.33). If $H(p,t) \geq 2$, then we are done. If $H(p,t) < 2$, then using the elementary inequality

$$(1+x)^a \geq 1 + ax, \quad \forall \ x > 0, \ a > 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}4-H^2=&H^2\left(1+H^{\alpha-1}(2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha+1})\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}-H^2\\ \geq&\frac{2}{1-\alpha}H^{1+\alpha}(2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha}),\end{aligned}$$

since $2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha}>0$ and $\frac{2}{1-\alpha}>1$. So at the point p, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha})\leq&-H^{1-\alpha}(2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha})+(1-\alpha)H^{-2\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2\\ \leq&-C_1^{1-\alpha}(2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha})+(1-\alpha)(4+\max_{M_0}|H^2-4|)C_1^{-2\alpha}C_3(\max_{M_0}\gamma_0)e^{-\delta_2 t},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimates (6.32) and (6.34). Then the maximum principle implies that

$$\begin{aligned}2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha}\leq&e^{-C_1^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\left(\max_{M_0}|2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha}|+(1-\alpha)(4+\max_{M_0}|H^2-4|)C_1^{-\alpha-1}C_3\max_{M_0}\gamma_0\right)\\ =:&C_4e^{-C_1^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t},\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

where $C_4=C_4(\alpha,\epsilon_0,\bar{r}_0-\underline{r}_0)$.

Applying the estimate (6.35) in (6.33), we have that at the critical point of γ_t,

$$\partial_t\gamma_t\leq\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\gamma_t-\left(2^{2-\alpha}-C_5(e^{-\delta_1 t}+e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}+e^{-C_1^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})\right)\gamma_t,\tag{6.36}$$

for some constant $C_5=C_5(\alpha,\epsilon_0,\bar{r}_0-\underline{r}_0)$. By applying the maximum principle again, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t\leq&\max_{M_0}\gamma_0e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}\exp(C_5(\frac{1}{\delta_1}+2^{\alpha-1}+C_1^{\alpha-1}))\\ =:&C_6(\max_{M_0}\gamma_0)e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}\end{aligned}\tag{6.37}$$

with $C_6=C_6(\alpha,\epsilon_0,\bar{r}_0-\underline{r}_0)$. Since $|\mathring{A}|^2=\gamma_tH^2$, the estimate (6.22) follows from (6.37), (6.32), (6.9) and the estimate (6.8).

In the following, we prove (6.23). Note that from (6.32), (6.8) and (6.9), we immediately get that

$$|M_0|(H^2(x,t)-4)\leq\ |M_0|(\max_{M_0}|H^2-4|)e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\ \leq\ Ce^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}$$

with C depending only on E. In order to prove (6.23), it remains to estimate the lower bound of $|M_0|(H^2(x,t)-4)$. We prove this by two steps.

From the definition of C_4 given in (6.35) and the estimate given in (6.8), we can choose s_0 large enough such that $0<C_4\leq 2^{1-\alpha}-1$, then (6.35) yields that

$$H^{1-\alpha}\geq 2^{1-\alpha}-C_4e^{-C_1^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\geq 2^{1-\alpha}-C_4\geq 2^{1-\alpha}-(2^{1-\alpha}-1)=1,$$

from which we defer that $H\geq 1$. This means that we can take $C_1=1$ as the lower bound of H. By taking $C_1=1$, choosing s_0 large enough and repeating the same procedure as that to obtain (6.35), we can get

$$2^{1-\alpha}-H^{1-\alpha}\leq e^{-t}.\tag{6.38}$$

Let $\eta_t=e^t(H^2-4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\eta_t=&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\eta_t-\alpha(\alpha+2)H^{-\alpha-2}\nabla\eta_t\cdot\nabla H\\ &+\left(1-H^{1-\alpha}\right)\eta_t-2H^{1-\alpha}e^t|\mathring{A}|^2,\end{aligned}$$

We consider the minimum point p of η_t. If $\eta_t(p) \geq 0$, i.e., $H^2 - 4 \geq 0$, then we are done. If $\eta_t(p) < 0$, then $(1 - H^{1-\alpha})\eta_t(p) \geq 0$, so at p, using the estimate (6.22) and (6.32), we have

$$\partial_t \eta_t \geq -2H^{1-\alpha} e^t |\mathring{A}|^2 \geq -C_7 |M_0|^{-2} e^{-(2^{2-\alpha}-1)t},$$

with C_7 depending only on α, ϵ_0, $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$ and E. Then applying the maximum principle, we obtain that

$$\eta_t \geq \min_{M_0} \eta_t - \frac{C_7}{2^{2-\alpha}-1} |M_0|^{-2} \geq -\max_{M_0} |H^2 - 4| - \frac{C_7}{2^{2-\alpha}-1} |M_0|^{-2}.$$

We can choose s_0 large enough such that $|M_0| > 1$, then from the estimates given in (6.8) and (6.9), we get

$$\eta_t \geq -C_8(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)|M_0|^{-1}. \tag{6.39}$$

Now we improve the estimate in (6.39). For the function ϕ_t defined by (6.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_t = {} & \alpha H^{-\alpha-1} \Delta \phi_t - \alpha(\alpha+2) H^{-\alpha-2} \nabla \phi_t \cdot \nabla H \\ & + \left(2^{1-\alpha} - H^{1-\alpha}\right) \phi_t - 2H^{1-\alpha} e^{2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} |\mathring{A}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the minimum point p of ϕ_t. If $\phi_t(p) \geq 0$, i.e., $H \geq 2$, then we are done. If $\phi_t(p) < 0$, then at p, using (6.22), (6.38) and (6.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_t \geq {} & \left(2^{1-\alpha} - H^{1-\alpha}\right) \phi_t - 2H^{1-\alpha} e^{2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} |\mathring{A}|^2 \\ = {} & \left(2^{1-\alpha} - H^{1-\alpha}\right) e^{(2^{1-\alpha}-1)t} \eta_t - 2H^{1-\alpha} e^{2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} |\mathring{A}|^2 \\ \geq {} & -C_8 e^{-(2-2^{1-\alpha})t} |M_0|^{-1} - 2^{2-\alpha} C_2 e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} |M_0|^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Then applying the maximum principle, we obtain that

$$\phi_t \geq \min_{M_0} \phi_t - C|M_0|^{-1} - C|M_0|^{-2} \geq -\max_{M_0} |H^2 - 4| - C|M_0|^{-1} - C|M_0|^{-2},$$

for some C depending only on α, ϵ_0, $\bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0$ and E. From the estimates given in (6.8) and (6.9), we get

$$\phi_t \geq -C(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)|M_0|^{-1}. \tag{6.41}$$

Then (6.23) follows immediately. □

Lemma 6.9. *There exist constants $s_0 = s_0(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ and $C_9 = C_9(\alpha, \epsilon_0, \bar{r}_0 - \underline{r}_0, E)$ such that for any $s \geq s_0$,*

$$|M_0|^3 |\nabla A|^2(x,t) \leq C_9 e^{-3\cdot 2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t} \tag{6.42}$$

on M_t along the flow (6.2).

Proof. First, recall that in 2-dimensional case, we have that (cf. [17, §2])

$$|\nabla H|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} |\nabla A|^2,$$

so we obtain that

$$|\nabla A|^2 = |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla H|^2 \leq |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{2}{3} |\nabla A|^2,$$

which implies that

$$|\nabla A|^2 \leq 3|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla H|^2 \leq 4|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2. \tag{6.43}$$

Therefore, in order to estimate $|\nabla A|^2$, it is equivalent to estimate $|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2$.

By combining (6.13), (6.15) and (6.16), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\mathring{h}_{ij} =&\partial_t h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_t H g_{ij} - \frac{H}{2}\partial_t g_{ij}\\ =&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\mathring{h}_{ij} - \alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}\nabla_i H\nabla_j H\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha-2}|\nabla H|^2 g_{ij} + \alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\mathring{A}|^2\mathring{h}_{ij} + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}H^{-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2 g_{ij}\\ &-\frac{\alpha}{2}H^{1-\alpha}\mathring{h}_{ij} + (1-\alpha)H^{-\alpha}\mathring{h}_i^k\mathring{h}_{kj} + 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\mathring{h}_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

If Rm denotes the curvature tensor of M_t, then for any tensor S on M_t, the Ricci identity implies that

$$\Delta\nabla S = \nabla\Delta S + Rm * \nabla S + \nabla Rm * S, \tag{6.45}$$

where $*$ means the contraction of two tensors using the metric $g(t)$ of M_t. We also have the formula of commuting ∇ with ∂_t (cf. [29, §2.3]),

$$\partial_t\nabla S - \nabla\partial_t S = S * \nabla\partial_t g(t). \tag{6.46}$$

By Gauss equations, the curvature of M_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}R_{ijkl} =& -(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}) + h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}\\ =&(\frac{H^2}{4} - 1)(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}) + \mathring{h}_{ik}\mathring{h}_{jl} - \mathring{h}_{il}\mathring{h}_{jk}\\ &+\frac{H}{2}\left(\mathring{h}_{ik}g_{jl} + \mathring{h}_{jl}g_{ik} - \mathring{h}_{il}g_{jk} - \mathring{h}_{jk}g_{il}\right)\end{aligned}$$

in local coordinates. Then

$$|Rm| \le C_{10}\left(|H^2-4| + |\mathring{A}|^2 + H|\mathring{A}|\right) \tag{6.47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla Rm| \le& C_{10}\left(H|\nabla H| + |\mathring{A}||\nabla\mathring{A}| + |\mathring{A}||\nabla H| + H|\nabla\mathring{A}|\right)\\ \le& 3C_{10}\left(|\mathring{A}||\nabla\mathring{A}| + H|\nabla\mathring{A}|\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

for a universal constant C_{10} depending only on the dimension $n = 2$.

Note that in the proof of Lemma 6.8, we obtain that H and $|A|^2$ are bounded from below and above by positive constants which do not depend on s, using (6.13), (6.43)–(6.48), we compute and estimate the evolution equation of $|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2 =&2g(\partial_t\nabla\mathring{A},\nabla\mathring{A}) - 2\partial_t g_{rs}g^{ir}g^{ms}g^{jn}g^{kp}\mathring{h}_{ij,k}\mathring{h}_{mn,p} - \partial_t g_{rs}g^{kr}g^{ps}g^{im}g^{jn}\mathring{h}_{ij,k}\mathring{h}_{mn,p}\\ =&2g(\partial_t\nabla\mathring{A},\nabla\mathring{A}) - 4H^{-\alpha}(\mathring{h}_{rs} + \frac{H}{2}g_{rs})g^{ir}g^{ms}g^{jn}g^{kp}\mathring{h}_{ij,k}\mathring{h}_{mn,p}\\ &-2H^{-\alpha}(\mathring{h}_{rs} + \frac{H}{2}g_{rs})g^{kr}g^{ps}g^{im}g^{jn}\mathring{h}_{ij,k}\mathring{h}_{mn,p}\\ \le&2g(\partial_t\nabla\mathring{A},\nabla\mathring{A}) + C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2|\mathring{A}| - 3H^{1-\alpha}|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\\ =&2g(\nabla\partial_t\mathring{A},\nabla\mathring{A}) + \mathring{A} * \nabla(H^{-\alpha}A) * \nabla\mathring{A} + C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2|\mathring{A}| - 3H^{1-\alpha}|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\\ \le&2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}g(\nabla\Delta\mathring{A},\nabla\mathring{A}) + \left(-3H^{1-\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2}H^{1-\alpha} + 2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C\left(|\nabla^2\mathring{A}|+|\nabla^2 H|\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^4+C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\left(|\mathring{A}|^3+\mathring{A}|^2+|\mathring{A}|\right)\\
\leq&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2-2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla^2\mathring{A}|^2\\
&+\left(-3H^{1-\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2}H^{1-\alpha}+2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^4 \qquad (6.49)\\
&+C\left(|\nabla^2\mathring{A}|+|\nabla^2 H|\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\left(|H^2-4|+|\mathring{A}|^3+|\mathring{A}|^2+|\mathring{A}|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant C depends only on α,ϵ_0 and $\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0$. We claim that

$$
|\nabla^2 H|\leq C_{11}|\nabla^2\mathring{A}| \qquad (6.50)
$$

for a universal constant C_{11} depending on the dimension $n=2$. In fact, let $\{e_1,e_2\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of M_t. Then

$$
|\nabla^2 H|^2=\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(h_{11,ij}+h_{22,ij})^2\leq\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\left(2h_{11,ij}^2+2h_{22,ij}^2\right). \qquad (6.51)
$$

Using the Codazzi equation $h_{kl,m}=h_{km,l}$ and the fact $\nabla^2 h_{kl}=\nabla^2\mathring{h}_{kl}$ for any pair $k\neq l$, we have

$$
h_{22,1j}=h_{21,2j}=\mathring{h}_{21,2j},\quad\forall\ j=1,2, \qquad (6.52)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{11,1j}=&\mathring{h}_{11,1j}+\frac{1}{2}H_{,1j}=\mathring{h}_{11,1j}+h_{22,1j}-\mathring{h}_{22,1j}\\
=&\mathring{h}_{11,1j}+\mathring{h}_{21,2j}-\mathring{h}_{22,1j},\quad\forall\ j=1,2. \qquad (6.53)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
h_{22,2j}=\mathring{h}_{22,2j}+\mathring{h}_{12,1j}-\mathring{h}_{11,2j},\quad\forall\ j=1,2, \qquad (6.54)
$$

$$
h_{11,2j}=\mathring{h}_{12,1j}\quad\forall\ j=1,2. \qquad (6.55)
$$

Then (6.50) follows by combining (6.51) – (6.55). In view of the estimates (6.22) and (6.23), (6.50) and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to kill out the second order term $|\nabla^2\mathring{A}|^2$ in (6.49), we have

$$
\partial_t|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\leq\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+\left(-3\cdot 2^{1-\alpha}+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+C|\nabla\mathring{A}|^4, \qquad (6.56)
$$

where the constant C depends only on α,ϵ_0, $\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0$ and E.

However, we can not obtain the estimate (6.42) by applying the maximum principle to (6.56), as the coefficient of $|\nabla\mathring{A}|^4$ is a positive constant. To kill out this bad term, we will combine the following evolution equation of $|\mathring{A}|^2$, which follows from (6.44), (6.13) and the estimates (6.22), (6.23).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t|\mathring{A}|^2=&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta|\mathring{A}|^2-2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2-2\alpha(\alpha+1)H^{-\alpha+2}\mathring{h}_{ij}\nabla^i H\nabla^j H\\
&+2\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\mathring{A}|^4-(\alpha+2)H^{1-\alpha}|\mathring{A}|^2+4\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\mathring{A}|^2\\
\leq&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta|\mathring{A}|^2+(-2^{-\alpha}\cdot\alpha+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\\
&+(-2^{2-\alpha}+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})|\mathring{A}|^2, \qquad (6.57)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant C depends only on α,ϵ_0, $\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0$ and E. Define

$$
\psi_t=\log|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2+K|\mathring{A}|^2 \qquad (6.58)
$$

for a constant K to be determined later. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\psi_t \leq&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\psi_t+\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla\log|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2|^2-3\cdot 2^{1-\alpha}+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\\&+\left(C+K(-2^{-\alpha}\alpha+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\\&+K(-2^{2-\alpha}+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})|\mathring{A}|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.59}$$

where the constant C depends only on α,ϵ_0, $\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0$ and E. At the critical point p of ψ_t, we have

$$\nabla\log|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2=-K\nabla|\mathring{A}|^2,$$

hence at this p, we have

$$|\nabla\log|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2|^2=K^2|\nabla|\mathring{A}|^2|^2=4K^2|\mathring{A}|^2|\nabla|\mathring{A}||^2\leq 4K^2C_2|M_0|^{-2}e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2,$$

where we used (6.22) and $|\nabla|\mathring{A}||^2\leq|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2$. Therefore, at p,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\psi_t \leq&\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\psi_t-3\cdot 2^{1-\alpha}+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}\\&+\left(C+K(-2^{-\alpha}\cdot\alpha+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})+4K^2C_2|M_0|^{-2}e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}\right)|\nabla\mathring{A}|^2\\&+KC|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}C_2|M_0|^{-2}e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}\end{aligned}\tag{6.60}$$

for some constant $C=C(\alpha,\epsilon_0,\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0,E)$. We can choose $K=C\alpha^{-1}2^{2+\alpha}$ and choose s_0 sufficiently large such that $|M_0|^{-1}<\min\{\frac{\alpha}{C\cdot 2^{1+\alpha}},\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2C\cdot C_2}\cdot 2^{3+\alpha}}\}$. Then we have

$$C+K(-2^{-\alpha}\cdot\alpha+C|M_0|^{-1}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t})+4K^2C_2|M_0|^{-2}e^{-2^{(2-\alpha)}\cdot t}\ <\ 0$$

and

$$C|M_0|^{-1}<\alpha\cdot 2^{-1-\alpha},\ KC|M_0|^{-1}C_2|M_0|^{-2}<\alpha^2\cdot 2^{-6-2\alpha}.$$

Therefore, at the critical point of ψ_t, we have

$$\partial_t\psi_t\leq\alpha H^{-\alpha-1}\Delta\psi_t-3\cdot 2^{1-\alpha}+\alpha\cdot 2^{-1-\alpha}e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}+\alpha^2\cdot 2^{-6-2\alpha}e^{-3\cdot 2^{(1-\alpha)}\cdot t}.\tag{6.61}$$

Applying maximum principal to (6.61), we conclude that

$$\psi_t\leq\max_{M_0}\psi_0-3\cdot 2^{1-\alpha}\cdot t+C,\tag{6.62}$$

for some constant $C=C(\alpha,\epsilon_0,\bar{r_0}-\underline{r}_0,E)$. Then (6.42) follows immediately from (6.62) and the estimate (6.8). □

References

[1] Ben Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1994), no. 2, 151–171.

[2] ———, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 2, 407–431.

[3] ———, *Fully nonlinear parabolic equations in two space variables*, available at arXiv: math.DG/0402235 (2004).

[4] ———, *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 17–33.

[5] ———, *Moving surfaces by non-concave curvature functions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2010), no. 3-4, 649–657.

[6] Ben Andrews, Mat Langford, and James McCoy, *Convexity estimates for surfaces moving by curvature functions*, J. Differential Geom. **99** (2015), no. 1, 47–75.

[7] Ben Andrews, James McCoy, and Yu Zheng, *Contracting convex hypersurfaces by curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **47** (2013), no. 3-4, 611–665.

[8] Bennett Chow, *Deforming convex hypersurfaces by the n-th root of the Gaussian curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **23**(1985), 117–138.
[9] ———, *Deforming hypersurfaces by the square root of the scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 63–82
[10] Qi Ding, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **32** (2011), no. 1, 27–44.
[11] Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
[12] ———, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), no. 3, 612–641.
[13] ———, *Curvature problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2006.
[14] ———, *Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, J. Differential Geom. **89** (2011), no. 3, 487–527.
[15] ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **49** (2014), no. 1-2, 471–489.
[16] ———, *Curvature flows in the sphere*, J. Differential Geom. **100** (2015), no. 2, 301–347.
[17] Gerhard Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.
[18] Gerhard Huisken and Alexander Polden, *Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces*, Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems (Cetraro, 1996), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1713, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 45–84.
[19] Pei-Ken Hung and Mu-Tao Wang, *Inverse mean curvature flows in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 119–126.
[20] N.V. Krylov, *Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46(3), 487–523, 670 (1982) (Russian)
[21] Qi-Rui Li, *Surfaces expanding by the power of the Gauss curvature flow*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 4089–4102.
[22] Gary M Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World scientific, 1996.
[23] Matthias Makowski and Julian Scheuer, *Rigidity results, inverse curvature flows and alexandrov-fenchel type inequalities in the sphere*, to appear in Asian J. Math., arXiv:1307.5764.
[24] André Neves, *Insufficient convergence of inverse mean curvature flow on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **84** (2010), no. 1, 191–229.
[25] Barrett O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 103, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1983, With applications to relativity.
[26] Julian Scheuer, *Gradient estimates for inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Geometric Flows **1** (2015), no. 1, 11–16.
[27] ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **53** (2015), no. 1-2, 91–123.
[28] Oliver C. Schnürer, *Surfaces expanding by the inverse Gauß curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **600** (2006), 117–134.
[29] Peter Topping, *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, LMS Lecture Notes Series, vol. 325, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
[30] John IE Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **205** (1990), no. 1, 355–372.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China

E-mail address: hli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

E-mail address: wangxianfeng@nankai.edu.cn

Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australia National University, ACT 2601 Australia

E-mail address: yong.wei@anu.edu.au